Code 61455 & A. DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER 636 FOR INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY

January 21, 1982

ADVANCED PROJECTS,
ENVIRONMENTAL AND
REGULATORY AFFAIRS GROUP

Mr. James H. Anthony Project Director Intermountain Power Project 931 General Office Building

JAN 21 1982

IPP Stack Height

This confirms a telephone conversation between Mr. N. F. Bassin of the Mechanical Engineering Section and Mr. J. M. Hayashi of the IPP Project Office concerning a meeting between Mr. Bassin and APERA personnel on January 6, 1982. It was decided, and Mr. Hayashi concurred, that a reduction in stack height is not recommended at this time. However, as Item 3 of "Commitments/Agreements" of the attached "Record of Conference/Conversation" indicates, an increase in stack height may, in fact, be advisable.

Mr. Hayashi was also informed that Mr. S. A. Clark will contact Mr. B. Campbell by January 12, 1982 to advise him of the calculated increase in stack height and to request a legal opinion of further action that may be required.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY L. J. WEIDNER G

L. J. WEIDNER
Manager
Mechanical Engineering Section

MGW: vg

Attachment

cc: w/Attachment
H. L. Holland
J. M. Hayashi
B. Campbell
Robert C. Burt
H. J. Christie
Patrick P. Wong (2)
M. J. Nosanov
S. A. Clark
T. L. Conkin
L. J. Weidner (4)
N. F. Bassin
M. G. Weiss

Form DC007A RECORD OF CONFERENCE/CONVERSATION		
Revised 12/4/81 PARTICIPANT/ATTENDANCE AFFILIATION/PHONE # PROJE	CT IPP	·
S. A. Clark APERA 3235 MEP-A		
T. L. Conkin APERA 4845 FILE		
	L/ 6/82TI	ME
	GOB	
TELE	HONE	MEETING X
SUBJECT/PURPOSE: To discuss the possibility of reducing IPP stack height		
by 40 feet.		
DISCUSSION: Black & Veatch (B&V) has indicated the possibility of		
reducing stack height by 40 feet and saving approximately \$1.5 million		
in stack costs.		
COMMITMENTS/AGREEMENTS:	Assigned	Due Date
1. Current permits are based on a stack height of		
710 feet calculated from the "Good Engineering		
Practice" (GEP) stack height equation.		
2. Dispersion modeling has confirmed that the emissions		
from that stack height will be within the PSD		
increments.	·	
3. Reduction in stack height below 710 feet would be		
questionable unless the independent variables of		
the GEP stack height formula have changed to result		
in a lower calculated GEP height. (A quick check		
by TLC indicates that due to increased generation		
building height, the GEP stack height is now		
approximately 760 feet, 50 feet higher than the		·
permit GEP height).		
4. Our modeling of our present estimated emissions		
indicates that we are at 67 percent of the 24 hour		
SO <sub>2</sub> PSD increment. (Continued on Page 2).		
CONCLUSIONS/ACTIONS: 1. We should reserve requests for		
permit changes to more significant items.	,	
2. Mr. Bassin will inform the Project Office of the	NFB	1/6/82
discussion and conclusion regarding reduction of		
stack height. (Continued on Page 2)		
NFB: lje	•	
cc: S. A. Clark PREPARED BY:	N. F. Ba	ssin
T. L. Conkin DATE:	1/6/82	

## COMMITMENTS/AGREEMENTS (Cont.)

- 4a. We would like to maintain a margin above our modeling values because:
  - (1) Actual measurements at the site may indicate higher emission levels because the modeling is not accurate.
  - (2) We may have to approach EPA and Utah on other matters of greater significant (e.g., fugitive dust for coal handling).
    - a. It is advisable to save what goodwill may exist for more significant items.
- b. Reducing stack height will decrease the margin of safety on the PSD increment.
- 5. Repeated requests for changes to the permits could jeopardize the permit.

## CONCLUSIONS/ACTIONS (Cont.)

3. Mr. Clark will contact Bruce Campbell of the Project Office and inform him of the calculated increase in stack height, and request a legal opinion of what further action may be required.

SAC 1/12/82